

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,962 | 11/03/2003 | Brian Haack | TCI-12902/08 | 5078 |
| 25006 | 7590 12/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE | | | PATEL, KIRAN B | |
| ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, PC 280 N OLD WOODARD AVE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 400 | | | 3612 | |
| BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 | | | DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | 10/699,962 | HAACK ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kiran B. Patel | 3612 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No | ovember 2004. | | | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | • | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | · | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species A, Fig 1-2, 8, 9, and claims 1-17, is acknowledged.

Claims 18-20, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Further, claims 12-17 are withdrawn by the Examiner from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Application/Control Number: 10/699,962 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rushford (5,207,262).

Regarding claims 1-2, Rushford (5,207,262) discloses in Fig. 1-7 the invention as claimed to include a front 6, rear 8, left 4 and right 4 triangular frame bars, four corner brackets 12, a cover 50, a base Fig 2, a vertical inner side Fig 2, and an inclined outer side.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim(s) 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rushford (5,207,262) as applied to claim 2 and further in view of Nett (6,042,173) and Melvani (2003/0193209).

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claim(s) 3-11, Rushford (5,207,262) discloses in Fig. 1-7 the invention as claimed to include a recess, cover support 16, a J-clip 60.

However, Rushford (5,207,262) does not disclose upper, lower and middle channels, L-bar, zipper and Velcro fasteners.

Nett (6,042,173) discloses in Fig 1-15 upper, lower and middle channels formed by protrusions 80 and 81; L-bar 94.

Melvani (2003/0193209) discloses zipper and Velcro fasteners (paragraph 0031 last three lines).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Rushford (5,207,262), to include upper, lower and middle channels, L-bar, zipper and Velcro fasteners, as disclosed by Nett (6,042,173) and Melvani (2003/0193209) to rigidly bound the frame and provide a cover for a truck bed provided with easy retrieval of items in the truck bed.

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

December 3, 2004